

Gaussian Process Regression

Uri Shaham

March 4, 2024

1 Preliminary: Multivariate Gaussians

Definition 1.1 (multivariate normal distribution). *A random vector $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is said to have a multivariate normal distribution with mean vector $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and covariance matrix Σ if Σ is positive definite and x has density*

$$p(X; \mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \det(\Sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(X - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (X - \mu)\right).$$

We can partition the d variables to two sets, A and B . In this case we can write $X = \begin{bmatrix} X_A \\ X_B \end{bmatrix}$, $\mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_A \\ \mu_B \end{bmatrix}$, $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{AA} & \Sigma_{AB} \\ \Sigma_{BA} & \Sigma_{BB} \end{bmatrix}$.

Proposition 1.2. *The conditional density $p(X_A|X_B) = \frac{p(X_A, X_B; \mu, \Sigma)}{\int_{X_B} p(X_A, X_B; \mu, \Sigma) dX_B}$ are also multivariate normal:*

$$p(X_A|X_B) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_A + \Sigma_{AB} \Sigma_{BB}^{-1} (X_B - \mu_B), \Sigma_{AA} - \Sigma_{AB} \Sigma_{BB}^{-1} \Sigma_{BA}).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} p(X_A|X_B) &= \frac{p(X_A, X_B; \mu, \Sigma)}{\int_{X_B} p(X_A, X_B; \mu, \Sigma) dX_B} \\ &= \frac{1}{\int_{X_B} p(X_A, X_B; \mu, \Sigma) dX_B} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(X - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (X - \mu)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} X_A - \mu_A \\ X_B - \mu_B \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} V_{AA} & V_{AB} \\ V_{BA} & V_{BB} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_A - \mu_A \\ X_B - \mu_B \end{bmatrix}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where Z does not depend on X_A , and $\Sigma^{-1} = V = \begin{bmatrix} V_{AA} & V_{AB} \\ V_{BA} & V_{BB} \end{bmatrix}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} X_A - \mu_A \\ X_B - \mu_B \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} V_{AA} & V_{AB} \\ V_{BA} & V_{BB} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_A - \mu_A \\ X_B - \mu_B \end{bmatrix} &= (X_A - \mu_A)^T V_{AA} (X_A - \mu_A) \\ &\quad + (X_A - \mu_A)^T V_{AB} (X_B - \mu_B) \\ &\quad + (X_B - \mu_B)^T V_{BA} (X_A - \mu_A) \\ &\quad + (X_B - \mu_B)^T V_{BB} (X_B - \mu_B). \end{aligned}$$

Retaining only terms depending on X_A , and using the fact that $V_{AB} = V_{BA}^T$ we can thus have

$$p(X_A|X_B) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} [X_A^T V_{AA} X_A - 2X_A^T V_{AA} \mu_A + 2X_A^T V_{AB} X_B]\right),$$

where the term inside the exponential is $X_A^T V_{AA} X_A - 2X_A^T (V_{AA} \mu_A - V_{AB} X_B)$. Completing the squares, we can write this as

$$(X_A - (\mu_A - V_{AA}^{-1} V_{AB} X_B))^T V_{AA} (X_A - (\mu_A - V_{AA}^{-1} V_{AB} X_B)) + c,$$

where c is a constant not depending on X_A . From this, we deduce that $p(X_A|X_B)$ is normal with mean $\mu = \mu_A - V_{AA}^{-1} V_{AB} X_B$ and covariance V_{AA}^{-1} . Finally, we recall the form of the inverse of a block matrix to have $V_{AA} = (\Sigma_{AA} - \Sigma_{AB} \Sigma_{BB}^{-1} \Sigma_{BA})^{-1}$, and $V_{BA} = -(\Sigma_{AA} - \Sigma_{AB} \Sigma_{BB}^{-1} \Sigma_{BA})^{-1} - \Sigma_{AB} \Sigma_{BB}^{-1}$. \square

2 Gaussian Processes

Gaussian processes are extension of multivariate Gaussians from vectors to functions.

Definition 2.1 (Gaussian process). *A GP with mean function $m(\cdot)$ and covariance function $k(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a stochastic process $\{Z_t : t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ such that for every finite collection t_1, \dots, t_n of indices, the vector $(Z_{t_1}, \dots, Z_{t_n})^T$ has a multivariate normal distribution with mean vector $\mu = (m(Z_{t_1}), \dots, m(Z_{t_n}))^T$ and covariance matrix K such that $K_{ij} = k(Z_{t_i}, Z_{t_j})$. We*

Since the covariance function has to be positive definite, it makes sense that k will be a kernel function. A typical choice for k is the RBF function

$$k(x, y) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - y\|^2}{\sigma^2}\right).$$

When we say that a function f is a sample drawn from a GP prior, we can think of f as a sample from a infinite dimensional multivariate normal vector, where each entry corresponds to an index $t \in \mathcal{T}$. That is, $f = \{f(x_t) : t \in \mathcal{T}\}$.

3 Gaussian Process Regression

GPR is a popular tool to quantify prediction uncertainty. Let $\{(x_i, y_i)\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ be a training set, drawn from some data distribution \mathcal{D} . where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$. We model the data by $y_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon_i$, where $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is some function, drawn from a GP prior with zero mean and covariance function k , and the ϵ_i 's are iid samples from zero mean normal distribution with variance σ^2 . Let $\{(x_j^*)\}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ be a test set, also drawn from the x -marginal distribution induced from \mathcal{D} . In vector form we can write

$$\vec{y} = \vec{f} + \vec{\epsilon},$$

and

$$\vec{y}^* = \vec{f}^* + \vec{\epsilon}^*,$$

where $\vec{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$, $\vec{f} = (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n))^T$, and so on. Similarly, we write $X = (x_1^T, \dots, x_n^T)^T$, i.e., an $n \times d$ matrix.

3.1 Prediction

Since f is a sample from a Gaussian process prior with zero mean vector and covariance function k , it follows that given X, X^*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{f} \\ \vec{f}^* \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\vec{0}, \begin{bmatrix} k(X, X) & k(X, X^*) \\ k(X^*, X) & k(X^*, X^*) \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

where $k(X, X^*)_{ij} = k(x_i, x_j^*)$, and so on. Since both \vec{f} and $\vec{\epsilon}$ are Gaussians, it follows that so is \vec{y} , i.e., given X, X^*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{Y} \\ \vec{Y}^* \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\vec{0}, \begin{bmatrix} k(X, X) + \sigma^2 I & k(X, X^*) \\ k(X^*, X) & k(X^*, X^*) + \sigma^2 I \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Finally, we are interested in the predictive distribution

$$p(\vec{Y}^* | X, X^*, \vec{y}).$$

recalling proposition 1.2, this distribution is multivariate Gaussian

$$p(\vec{Y}^* | X, X^*, \vec{y}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu^*, \Sigma^*),$$

with

$$\mu^* = k(X, X^*) (k(X, X) + \sigma^2 I)^{-1} \vec{y},$$

and

$$\Sigma^* = k(X^*, X^*) + \sigma^2 I - k(X^*, X) (k(X, X) + \sigma^2 I)^{-1} k(X, X^*).$$

And that's :)

In particular, this gives us a measure of uncertainty in the prediction of y_j^* , which is Σ_{jj}^* , as

$$Y_j^* \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_j^*, \Sigma_{jj}^*).$$